

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Introduction | 9 |
| I | |
| Inferring from that which remains: Alexander and his helmsman | 13 |
| The sequence of argument | 16 |
| The navigational image | 20 |
| Navigational image: conclusions | 30 |
| II | |
| Themistius' paraphrasis: what stays, what is left out, what is supplemented | 33 |
| Conclusions | 73 |
| III | |
| Between acting and contemplating: Ps.Simplicius' account of the soul in his commentary on <i>De anima</i> II I | 75 |
| Ps.Simplicius' <i>In de anima</i> : the main proem and brief 'prolegomena' to Book Two | 76 |
| The general proem | 77 |
| The closure of <i>In de anima I</i> | 81 |
| Concluding the exegesis of Book One: the glossa on 411a27 | 83 |
| Prolegomena to Book Two (or <i>In De anima 81–82.12 H.</i>) | 84 |
| Chapter II 1: general characteristics of the exegesis | 89 |
| Exploring the <i>De anima</i> II 1 | 94 |
| Interpreting the definition itself | 105 |
| Conclusions | 138 |
| IV | |
| Philoponus, his general proem and his explanations on the definition | 141 |
| Philoponus' <i>pneuma</i> or the soul's idiosyncrasies as emerging in the proem | 143 |
| Book II, chapter 1: explaining the Aristotelian definition | 146 |
| The formal aspect | 156 |
| Explaining the account | 158 |
| The importance of <i>pneuma</i> | 161 |
| Alexander: authority and adversary | 167 |
| Conclusions | 172 |

Table of Contents

Appendix One:

What to comment upon: a brief comparison of the exegetical choices preferred by John Philoponus and Ps. Simplicius **175**

Lemmata in the *In de anima* II 1 176

Lemmata in the *In de anima* II 2 177

Lemmata in the *In de anima* II 3 178

Lemmata in the *In de anima* II 4 179

Bibliography **181**

Index locorum **197**